Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document2024 Filed10/21/13 Page1 of 5

1	David J. Burman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Cori G. Moore (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
2	Eric J. Weiss (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
3	Nicholas H. Hesterberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Steven D. Merriman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
4	PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900		
5	Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000		
6	Facsimile: 206.359.9000		
7	Joren Bass, Bar No. 208143 JBass@perkinscoie.com		
8	PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400		
9	San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: 415.344.7120		
10	Facsimile: 415.344.7320		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13			
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
16	DIDE CATHODED AN TUDE (CDT)	M 4 F1 N 2 07 05044 GC	
17	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-cv-05944-SC MDL No. 1917	
18	This document relates to:	DECLARATION OF ERIC J. WEISS IN SUPPORT OF COSTCO'S	
19	Case No. 3:11-06397-SC	OPPOSITION TO THE PHILIPS DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO	
20	COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION,	COMPEL ARBITRATION	
21	Plaintiff,		
22	V.		
23	HITACHI, LTD., et al.,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Weiss Declaration in Support of		

Weiss Declaration in Support of Costco's Opposition to the Philips Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration I, Eric J. Weiss, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Perkins Coie LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation ("Costco"). I am admitted to practice law in the states of Wisconsin, Illinois, and Washington and am admitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this action pursuant to Pretrial Order No. 1, Dkt. 230 (Apr. 4, 2008). I am over the age of 18 and competent to testify to the matters in this Declaration, which is based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. Attached as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of an email, dated October 15, 2013, from Patrick Clayton, counsel for the Indirect Purchaser Parties ("IPP"), confirming that the IPPs sent to the defendants on February 19, 2010, a copy of the transactional data that Costco produced on February 18, 2012, in response to an IPP subpoena.
- 3. Attached as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of Defendants Philips Electronics North America Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation, served on May 15, 2012.
- 4. Attached as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of Defendants Philips Electronics North America Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.'s First Set of Request for Production to Plaintiff Costco Wholesale Corporation, served on May 15, 2012.
- Attached as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Costco Wholesale
 Corporation's Answers and Objections to Philips Electronics North America Corporation and
 Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories, served on August 17,
 2012.
- 6. Attached as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of Costco Wholesale Corporation's Responses and Objections to Philips Electronics North America Corporation and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.'s First Set of Request for Production, served on August 17, 2012.
- 7. On August 17, 2012, Costco served its objections and responses to the aforementioned discovery requests to counsel for the Philips Defendants. That same day, Costco also sent to counsel for the Philips Defendants via an FTP site Costco-CRT-00001, which contained Costco's production of CRT transactional data. Attached as **Exhibit F** is a true and

3

9

6

12

13

14

15 16

18

17

20

21

19

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

correct copy of the August 17, 2012, email with instructions for downloading the transactional data.

- 8. Included within Costco's production was the file "Vendor Names.xlsx," which is a spreadsheet that lists vendors that sold Costco products containing cathode ray tube ("CRTs") during conspiracy. One of the vendors listed in the spreadsheet is "Philips Consumer Electronics," a division of defendant Philips Electronics North America Corporation. A preliminary review of the transactional data shows that the Philips Defendants sold to Costco at least \$355 million worth of CRT Products during the conspiracy.
- 9. Over the course of the next five months, counsel for Costco and the Philips Defendants participated in no fewer than four telephonic meet-and-confers and routinely emailed one another about the Philips Defendants' discovery requests. The parties initially reached a mutual understanding to work toward an agreement in which Costco would produce documents responsive to Defendants' requests based on a review of files from an agreed upon list of custodians, filtered by keyword search terms. The Philips Defendants and Costco exchanged and negotiated proposed search terms and on several occasions discussed options for reducing costs and time in producing those documents. As a part of those meet-and-confers and email exchanges, Costco responded to additional discovery requests from the Philips Defendants, producing a warehouse reference list, organizational chart, and responses to additional inquiries regarding transactional data, warehouse region codes and descriptions, and supplemental transactional data. Attached as Exhibit G are true and correct copies of a series of emails and letters between counsel for Costco and the Philips Defendants regarding the ongoing discovery discussions and production.
- 10. On October 17, 2012, counsel for the Philips Defendants informed me that the defendants wanted to depose a Costco witness pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) in an expeditious manner because the defendants hoped to include that deposition in their upcoming response to the IPPs' motion for class certification. On October 18, 2012, counsel for the Philips Defendants sent me an list of draft topics for the deposition and commented that "time is of the essence." Attached as

- 11. Costco agreed to make its witness available for a deposition on November 16, 2012. The IPPs thereafter requested from the defendants a continuance in light of the last-minute notice of the deposition, and Special Master Legge continued the deposition to December 7, 2012. On that day, the defendants deposed Geoff Shavey, a former Assistant General Merchandise Manager and buyer of consumer electronics for Costco. Attached as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Deposition to Costco.
- 12. On January 10, 2013, in what the Philips Defendants described as "a pivot in our discussions regarding Costco's document production," counsel for the Philips Defendants directed Costco to immediately suspend its ongoing document-production efforts and instead search for and produce "all Vendor Agreements, or any other agreements containing an arbitration provision, that it had in place with any Defendant relating to the purchase of products incorporating CRTs during the 1995-2007 time period." The Philips Defendants claimed to have heard for the first time at the deposition of Geoff Shavey "that Costco has similar Vendor Agreements with all suppliers." Attached as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of the January 10, 2013, email and letter sent by counsel for the Philips Defendants to Costco. Until that point, the Philips Defendants had never indicated to Costco that they were considering moving to compel arbitration.
- 13. On January 18, 2010, I informed the Philips Defendants that Costco would produce any such vendor agreements but explained that "if any Defendants signed contracts with Costco containing arbitration provisions, those Defendants presumably maintained their own copies of those contracts and have had them available to review and consult ever since entering into them." I also explained that to the extent any such contracts existed, "Defendants have waived any opportunity to arbitrate with Costco" because those "Defendants' decision to proceed in the MDL forecloses any opportunity to arbitrate now." Attached as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of the January 18, 2013, letter I sent to counsel for the Philips Defendants. Costco produced the vendor agreements on February 11, 2013.

Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document2024 Filed10/21/13 Page5 of 5

1	14. Attached as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of an article by Charles J.	
2	Moxley, Jr. titled Discovery in Commercial Arbitration: How Arbitrators Think, published in the	
3	August/October 2008 issues of the Dispute Resolution Journal and available at http://www.	
4	moxleyadr.com/Discovery%20in%20Arbitration%20article.pdf.	
5		
6	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my	
7	knowledge.	
8		
9	Executed this 21st day of October, 2013, at Seattle, Washington.	
10		
11	By: /s/ Eric J. Weiss	
12	Eric J. Weiss	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		